## Interview Summary

Application No. **09/574,519** 

Applicant(s)

Henderson et al

Examiner

Jeffrey Fredman

Group Art Unit 1655



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Jeffrey Fredman	(3) Eric Henderson, App.
(2) Scott Marks, App. Rep.	(4)
Date of Interview Jun 1, 2001	_
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: all claims	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Peeters, Smith	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached substance of Interview including description of the general	d. g) $\boxtimes$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.
any other comments:	
	mited the surface to biological or chemical interactions, but the sand, because Peeters teaches binding of a protein and a
subsequent antibody (column 10), Peeters also teaches or	
examiner then discussed a variety of other possible struct	tural limitations which might overcome the cited prior art.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FF	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1655